Proposition 47 Jail In-reach Local Advisory Committee Agenda

April 16, 2025

https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-

join/19%3ameeting YzAxNTEwNzltZDU5YS00N2E5LTkzMWUtNWM3NTdiNj VjNDVm%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%22ecfd017d-15bb-494b-9072-cb313101730c%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%223ed887a5-

6429-4727-946d-fa028a9ed262%22%7d

Meeting ID: 253 623 451 352 Passcode: Lj2tD3Cv

LAC Members:

		□ Sean Kelson
□ David Evans	□ Will Gayowski	□ Shannon Petersen
□ Desiree Ohlstrom	☐ Michael Gause	⊠ Sharmalee Rjakumaran
⊠ Elizabeth Goldman	□ Monica Savon	☐ Judge Shelly Averill
∠ Lisa Elliott	Nour Maxwell ■ ■ Nour Maxwell Nour Maxwell	□ Carrie Coen
∠ Lynne Slater	□Judge Karlene Navarro	□ Chad McMasters
		⊠ Sharilyn Shaeffer

Topic	Key discussion points	Next Steps
Introductions	Committee members introduce themselves	
Review of Agenda	David Evans Reviews the agenda	
Overview of Prop 47 Jail In-Reach Program & Purpose of LAC	David Evans gives overview of Prop 47 Jail In-Reach Program and purpose of LAC	
Implementation Update: \$ Successes \$ Barriers \$ # of Referrals \$ Other data?	Carrie Coen from RDA shares updated info-graphic. There are some key evaluation components that the BSCC requires. One is a Local Evaluation Plan, which was completed and refined at the beginning of the project, adding the definition of recidivism, ect. The next big p iece is the final local evaluation report that is due towards teh end of the project. A yearly infographic was added to keep the committee informed on progress. The infographic was shared in the meeting and is anticipated to be used internally and externally to share with any partners, stakeholders, anyone who's interested in learning more about the program. Carrie shares we have received 61 referrals for the program, 46 of those actively engaged in services and 18 of those	

continue their participation after release from jail. Commonly, 50% of the participants receive housing support, whether at a shelter, transitional or permanent housing, or a combination of the three. 1/3 of the population were also connected to public benefits. The infographic also outlines successes and challenges; Engagement, fostering relationships, post-release follow-up, motivation. Some challenges include initially identifying eligibility & exclusionary criteria which highlighted the need for clear stakeholder education which has improved throughout the year. Another challenge has been building meaningful rapport with clients prior to release, which decreases the likelihood that they're going to engage in services post release. It is an ongoing challenge and one that we're working to problem solve. RDA is also working to gather client & partner testimonials for the graphic.

David asks who and where we can share this information. Mary-Frances Walsh asks for the infographic to share in their monthly newsletter, which would reach nearly 3000 individuals. WCCS would also like to post the infographic in their office; Sharilyn suggests a training in IMDT. David mentions sharing with all BH staff. Desiree asks to also include a training for staff. Elizabeth Goldman suggests sharing with the Custody Leadership team. David adds the Stepping Up subcommittees as well as ACT subcommittee. Lynne would like to share the information with bench staff.

IFSN reports referrals are coming from JMHCP, WellPath, Public Defender, Jail Clinician, Mental Health Diversion, in-custody SUD program.

Busy quarter; received 18 new referrals. Engaged with 34 clients within the quarter including new

referrals & carry-over clients.

10: Mental Health Treatment (in and out of custody):

2: SUD Inpatient

1: SUD treatment in Custody (GEO program)

3: Food Assistance

4: Basic Necessities

27: Case Management

6: Housing

19: Re-entry Services

3: Social Services (2 EBT, 2 GA, 1 SSI)

10: Transportation

12: Whole Person Care Referrals

Typical things IFSN offers includes food assistance, basic necessities, getting clients connected to housing (shelter, transitional housing), Case management services, reentry services, EBT, GA, SSI, transportation and others.

Lisa reports highlights this quarter, good connections made with SUD, and DAAC. Made strong collaborative connections with Public Defenders. They have another fulltime case manager that will increase their productivity and success. Perhaps the biggest challenge so far has been, for example, someone goes to court and it's determined they should participate in Jail In-Reach and a referral may or may not have been made. The court needs to know if they want to participate in services. Sometimes that communication is not shared. If a person does not qualify for IFSN housing, which many do not, the only option is shelter housing. Waitlists are a huge barrier here. There also seems to be some

confusion about the differences between Jail In-Reach and JMHCP. With JMHCP, a clinician in the iail has done most of the coordination with IFSN, but Jail In-Reach does not have that person currently. Lynne Slater and Lisa Elliott talk about how to better communication between the DA and IFSN regarding court happenings. David mentions it would be great to see some referrals from IFSN to peer support groups. Lisa says those referrals have been made in the past and will continue to do so and will provide that data next time.

CalAim pre-release program update An email will be from Des; A mandate will go out that sent to Lisa from anyone who qualifies for MediCal who has mental health issues. substance use issues, medical issues, lattorneys to postpartum, is preanant, etc., who is linclude a brief in jail or prison and who has 90 days or less on their sentence will be offered the pre-release program. It is court. basically transition planning. Medical appointments will be made for them. They'll also be connected with ECM services, community support services, providing transportation, substance use treatment, behavioral health assessments. This way, a client can be assessed for services while still in custody and be already assigned a BH team when they are released. Desiree shares some concern about duplicating programs between CalAim pre-release and the Jail In-Reach program. David answers; the pre-release program is geared more towards willing participants while Jail In-Reach is more tailored to reluctant individuals. If an individual declines the pre-release program, they should then be referred to IFSN for the In-Reach program.

misdemeanor and/or felony summary of what happened in

Local Evaluation Plan Update	Evaluation timeline Infographic – Year 1 Jan 2024 – Dec 2024 Infographic – Year 2 Jan 2025 – Dec 2025 Final Local Evaluation Report (FLER) June 2026	
Discussion		
Community Q&A	No comments or questions from the community.	
Next Meeting	July 16, 2025 12:30pm	